



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,002	02/27/2002	Alin D'Silva	01-1013	5764	
32127	7590 07/12/2005	590 07/12/2005		EXAMINER	
VERIZON	CORPORATE SERVI	AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S			
-,	TIAN R. ANDERSEN IN RIDGE DRIVE		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE HQEO3H14			2642		
IRVING, T	X 75038			_	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/084,002	D'SILVA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	April 2005.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	awn from consideration. Vare rejected.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·,					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on April 26, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 12, 14, 22, 24, and 26-27 have been amended. Claims 4, 6, 11, 13, 51-21, 23, and 25 have been canceled. Claims 28-37 have been added. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14, 22, 24 and 26-37 are pending in this application, with claims 1, 8, 22, 27, and 32, being independent.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 26 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because claim 26 depends on claim 25, which a canceled claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14, 22, 24, and 26-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pattison et al (US PAT # 6, 058,163) in view of Frey et al (US PAT # 6, 535, 596).

Regarding claim 1, Pattison teaches a method, comprising: receiving at least one analysis request from a requesting party (this reads on the supervisor submitting a request to place a monitoring session, see col. 5, lines 37-47 and col. 6, lines 10-12); receiving a request for a call connection from a calling party to a called party (this basically reads on any calls placed to the call center 10 as shown in Fig. 1, from a caller/customer to the agent, see also, col. 2, lines 12-15), the call connection request

Application/Control Number: 10/084,002

Art Unit: 2642

being associated with at least one analysis request (this reads on customers making calls to ask questions or to requests particular services, see col. 1, lines 30-32); recording dial stream information derived from the call connection while the call connection is established (this reads on recording all the information about the call from the beginning to the end of the session, see col. 2, lines 34-36 and col. 5, lines 3-6); and providing the result to the requesting party (see col.11, lines 6-7).

Pattison does not specifically teach <u>analyzing the recorded dial stream</u> information to generate a result for the all least one analysis request.

However, Frey teaches a system designed to process calls based on subscriber profiles information. For example, when a calling party enters or pushes a button on their keypad, the access module 117 analyzes the entered digits in order to recognize the type of information or services that are requested by the calling party (see col. 6, lines 43-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of analyzing the entered information in order to generate a result, as taught by Frey, into the Pattison system in order to provide speed and convenience.

Claims 8, 22, 27, 31-33, and 37 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Claim 27 recites "means for requesting permission to

Art Unit: 2642

provide the result of the analysis to a recipient". This may simply read on authentication step that is performed prior to allowing the supervisor to request the monitoring event (see col. 5, lines 37-47 and col. 6, lines 36-39 In Pattison). Pattison perform the function of permission and authentication for the monitoring session first prior to the acceptance of a monitoring request from the supervisor. However, the claimed invention asks for the permission to submit the requested information after it performs conducting this information and prior to the transmission of this information to the requesting party. These two features lead to the same conclusion, which is providing the requested information to the authorized person.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Frey teaches at least one analysis request is associated with at least one product or service (see col. 4, lines 12-18).

Regarding claims 3, and 10, Frey teaches the result for the analysis request determines the level of interest in the at least one product or service (this basically reads on providing the subscriber with the service or the preference that he/she desired, such as the language or the dialing preference (using keypad or speaking), see col. 5, lines 17-20.

Regarding claims 4, 11, 18 and 23, Frey teaches determining a response to the request for the call connection (this basically reads on providing the caller the specific request or service required, see for example, col. 9, lines 42-56); and recording the

Application/Control Number: 10/084,002 Page 5

Art Unit: 2642

response to the request for the call connection (this is inherent). For claim 23, the claimed processor reads on the call behavior 130 (see col. 5, lines 2-40).

Claims 5, 12, 24 and 34 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 8.

Regarding claims 7, 14, and 26, Frey teaches billing the recipient and/or the receiving party for the result (see col. 8, lines 10-42).

Regarding claim 28, Pattison teaches the at least one analysis request is received as a data transmitted over a data network (see col. 4, lines 30-50).

Regarding claims 29 and 35, Frey teaches the dial stream information includes calling party name, called party number, and duration of the call connection (this reads in general on the calling and the called party profile, see col. 5, lines 8-11). Recording the duration of the call is inherent since the reference has to perform a billing for the services.

Claims 30 and 36 are rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 29. Also, see caller ID information on col. 21 in Frey.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2642

4. Applicant's arguments filed 04/26/2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Silverman (US PAT # 6, 389, 113) teaches a technique for monitoring calls placed to the telephone number associated with the party under surveillance (abstract of the invention).

Low et al (US PAT # 6, 539, 082) teaches a billing system for a telecommunication network that uses monitoring software agent to monitor messages.

Bell (US PAT # 4, 013, 839) teaches a system for monitoring dialed numbers.

Pons et al (US PAT # 5, 805, 670) teaches notifying pre-selected recipients (such as friends or family who are selected by the subscriber) about the placement of a 911 call. Information regarding the 911 call and the subscriber will be provided to the recipient (s). See abstract, col. 3, lines 1-20, col. 4, lines 16-19 and col. 8, lines 32-35.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,002

Art Unit: 2642

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Rasha S. Al-Aubaidi Art Unit 2642 07/08/2005

> BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER